



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,521	11/01/2001	Timothy Samuel Girton	760-35 CIP	6660
7590 Daniel A. Scola, Jr. HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 Jericho Turnpike Syosset, NY 11791			EXAMINER PATTERSON, MARC A	
			ART UNIT 1794	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/17/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ADVISORY ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed March 19, 2008 have been fully considered but have not been found to be persuasive.

1. Applicant's argues, on page 6 of the remarks dated March 19, 2008, that Freiburger et al is non – analogous art because it is directed to a film for garments and diapers.

However, Claim 24 is directed only to an extrudate, and therefore does not exclude Freiburger et al, which is an extrudate.

Applicant also argues, on page 9, that Freiburger et al is non – porous and that holes are not introduced into the film.

However, as stated on page 2 of the previous Action, Freiburger et al discloses an interpenetrating network of PTFE and silicone and therefore discloses a silicone domains that are capable of being extracted to create pores.

Applicant also argues, on page 12, that there is no incentive to combine Freiburger et al and Randall et al because Freiburger et al is directed to a garment.

However, Freiburger discloses a film, as stated on page 2 of the previous Action, and is therefore not limited to a garment.

Applicant also argues on page 12 that Randall et al does not teach an IPN.

However, an IPN is disclosed by Freiburger et al; furthermore, as stated on page 2 of the previous Action, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided for the film of Freiburger et al in Randall et al.

Applicant also argues on page 13 that Chuter does not teach an IPN.

However, an IPN is disclosed by Freiburger et al; furthermore, as stated on page 2 of the previous Action, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided for the film of Freiburger et al in Chuter.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).